

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/592,695 06/13/2000		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Andrea G Cochran	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. P1762R1	CONFIRMATION NO. 7146
09/592,695					
23552	7590	06/20/2003			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC				EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO		N 55402-0903		WESSENDORI	, TERESA D
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1639	20
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	29

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
09/592,695		COCHRAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	T. D. Wessendorf	1639	
		l l	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a)	or b)] ,
 a)	om the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corres fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory per (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension riod for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed w 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid of	
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	•
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/o	or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appe issues for appeal; and/or	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding n	umber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): none.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submicanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	itted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☑ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be en explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: none.	
Claim(s) objected to: none.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-14 and 19-22</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)
10. Other:	
	T.O. 3
	T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1639

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Applicati n No. 09/592,695

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendments would provoke a new 112 rejection with egards to the carboxy protection of C1.

For example, it is not seen how the carboxy of C1 is protected and at the same time be attached to the N-terminus of A1. The sequence in claim 20 does not have a Seq. ID. No. It is not clear whether the bracket is intended to delete the ID. No. 1.